

2021 TITLE II REPORTS

National Teacher Preparation Data





FIRST NAME Sarah

LAST NAME

Institution Information
Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.
Academic year
• <u>IPEDS ID</u>
PEDS ID
THIS INSTITUTION HAS NO IPEDS ID
F NO IPEDS ID, PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION
ADDRESS
2945 College Drive
P.O. Box 717
CITY
Bryn Athyn
STATE
Pennsylvania
ZIP
19009
SALUTATION
Mrs.

(267) 502-6085		
EMAIL		
sarah.wong@brynathyn.edu		

Wong

PHONE

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

List of Programs

List each program for an initial teaching credential below and indicate whether it is offered at the Undergraduate level (UG), Institution Information Postgraduate level (PG), or both. (§205(a)(C))

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:			
>> <u>List of Programs</u>			

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

• Teacher Preparation Program

List of Programs

CIP Code	Teacher Preparation Programs	UG, PG, or Both	Update
13.121	Early Childhood Education	UG	
13.1	Special Education	Both	

Total number of teacher preparation programs:

2

Program Requirements

Check the elements required for admission (entry) into and completion (exit) from the program. If programs are offered at the undergraduate level and postgraduate level, complete the table for both types of programs. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience
- · Adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience
- Cooperating Teachers/PreK-12 Staff Supervising Clinical Experience
- Supervised clinical experience

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> <u>Undergraduate Requirements</u>
- >> Postgraduate Requirements
- >> Supervised Clinical Experience

Undergraduate Requirements

- 1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?
 - Yes
 - No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	Yes No	• Yes No
Fingerprint check	• Yes No	Yes No
Background check	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum ACT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	• Yes No	• Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes No	Yes No
Recommendation(s)	Yes No	Yes No
Essay or personal statement	Yes No	Yes No

Element	Admission	Completion
Interview	Yes No	Yes No
Other Specify: 2 math and 2 english/literature courses	• Yes No	• Yes No
What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if y bove.)	you indicated that a minimum GP	A is not required in the table
2.8		
What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you bove.)	indicated that a minimum GPA is	not required in the table
3		

4. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Bryn Athyn College's state-approved dual-certification, undergraduate program was rolled out in 2016-2017. The 3.0 minimum GPA required at the completion of the program is for state certification purposes, not for graduation. For graduation, students need a 2.0 to successfully graduate with their bachelor's degree.

Postgraduate Requirements

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

Yes No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	Yes	• Yes No
Fingerprint check	Yes No	Yes No
Background check	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes No	Yes
Minimum ACT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	Yes No	Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes No	Yes No

Element	Admission	Completion		
Recommendation(s)	• Yes No	Yes No		
Essay or personal statement	• Yes No	Yes No		
Interview	Yes No	Yes No		
Other Specify: Optional: GRE score as part of admission.	• Yes No	Yes No		
What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave above.)	e blank if you indicated that a minim	um GPA is not required in the table		
3				
What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave bl above.)	ank if you indicated that a minimum	GPA is not required in the table		
3				
A minimum GPA of 3.0 upon program completion, fingerprinting and background checks are required only for those that are seeking PDE teacher certification recommendations. Although there isn't a minimum overall GPA requirement for the degree, students are required to receive course grades that are no lower than a B- to successfully complete the graduate program.				
upervised Clinical Experience				
rovide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 20	019-20. (<u>§205(a)(1)(C)(iii), §205(a)(1)(</u> (<u>2)(iv))</u>		
e there programs with student teaching models? Yes No				
If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.				
Programs with student teaching models (most traditional programs)				
Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	546			
Number of clock hours required for student teaching	560			
re there programs in which candidates are the teacher of record? Yes No				

Programs in which candidates are the teacher of record in a classroom during the program (many alternative programs)

If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.

classroom	
All Programs	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff) Optional tool for automatically calculating full-time equivalent faculty in the system	2
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)	1
Number of cooperating teachers/K-12 staff supervising clinical experience during this academic year	10
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this	7

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior

Number of years required for teaching as the teacher of record in a

to teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom

Our undergraduate teacher candidates go through 4 phases of pre-student teaching, during which they are exposed and given opportunities for consistent hands-on learning experiences in the classroom. Moreover, in our undergraduate pre-student teaching placements, our candidates are mentored by experienced teachers at various grades and with students with diverse learning needs, both in general education classrooms as well as in specialized learning environments. Their clinical experiences culminate in their 14-week student teaching placement, in which they are in either 1 classroom (with access to 2 cooperating teachers) for the 14 weeks or are in 2 classrooms (splitting 7-wk/7-wk between their special education and early childhood education placements). Our more recently approved graduate program in special education provides supervised clinical experiences that cater to each student's prior in-classroom teaching experiences. So should a candidate enroll into the program, seeking to become an initial certification candidate for PDE's Special Education (PreK-12) certification, they would have two options to choose from. Options include: a 3-credit course SpEd 691 Student Teaching in Special Education OR 3-6 credit course - SpEd 692 Teacher Residency in Special Education.

Enrollment and Program Completers

In each of the following categories, provide the total number of individuals enrolled in teacher preparation programs for an initial teaching credential and the subset of individuals enrolled who also completed the program during the academic year.

(§205(a)(1)(C)(ii))

Key terms in this section are listed below.	Click on the link to view t	he definition(s) in
the glossary.		

- Enrolled Student
- Program Completer

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Enrollment and Program Completers

Enrollment and Program Completers	Enroll	ment	and	Program	Comp	oleters
--	---------------	------	-----	----------------	------	---------

2019-20 Total	
Total Number of Individuals Enrolled	16
Subset of Program Completers	10

Gender	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Male	0	0
Female	16	10
Non-Binary/Other	0	0
No Gender Reported	0	0
Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
Asian	1	0
Black or African American	0	0
Hispanic/Latino of any race	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0

Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Two or more races	0	0
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0

Teachers Prepared

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Academic Major

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Teachers Prepared by Subject Area
- >> Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2019-20.

For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

What are CIP Codes?

No teachers prepared in academic year 2019-20

If your program has no teachers prepared, check the box above and leave the table below blank (or clear responses already entered).

What are CIP codes? The Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) provides a taxonomic scheme that supports the accurate tracking and reporting of fields of study and program completions activity. CIP was originally developed by the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in 1980, with revisions occurring in 1985, 1990, and 2000 (https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/Default.aspx?y=55).

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	10
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	3
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2019-20. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education—Chemistry" category.

What are CIP Codes?

Do participants earn a degree upon completion of the progra	Do	participants	earn a	degree	upon	completion	of the	progran
---	----	--------------	--------	--------	------	------------	--------	---------

• Yes

No teachers prepared in academic year 2019-20

If your program does not grant participants a degree upon completion, or has no teachers prepared, leave the table below blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	10
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	3
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	
01	Agriculture	
03	Natural Resources and Conservation	
05	Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies	
09	Communication or Journalism	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
12	Personal and Culinary Services	
14	Engineering	
16	Foreign Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics	
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
21	Technology Education/Industrial Arts	
22	Legal Professions and Studies	
23	English Language/Literature	
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	
25	Library Science	
26	Biological and Biomedical Sciences	
27	Mathematics and Statistics	
30	Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	
40	Physical Sciences	
41	Science Technologies/Technicians	
42	Psychology	
44	Public Administration and Social Service Professions	
45	Social Sciences	
46	Construction	
47	Mechanic and Repair Technologies	
50	Visual and Performing Arts	
51	Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences	
52	Business/Management/Marketing	
54	History	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
99 Other Specify:	Other Specify:	

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Yes No

Program Assurances

Respond to the following assurances. Note: Teacher preparation programs should be prepared to provide documentation and evidence, when requested, to support the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii); §206(b))

		UDES:

>> Program Assurances

Program Assurances
1. Program preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to tea based on past hiring and recruitment trends.
• Yes No
2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.
• Yes No
3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.
Yes No
Program does not prepare special education teachers
4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.
• Yes No
5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.
• Yes No
6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.
• Yes No
7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

We have established relationships with a variety of schools in the urban and suburban districts that surround our college. We place our teacher candidates in a variety of schools, including public schools, charter schools, private schools and other religiously-affiliated institutions. Many of our instructors in the program also have continued ties and collaborative relationships with local school districts and programs, as they were once employed there as teachers and administrators. In addition, all of our students take courses that address areas discussed above including: core academic subjects (e.g. Ed 323: Math and the Young Child or Ed 322: Social Studies and the Young Child), instruction for students with disabilities (8 courses in Special Education including SpEd 212: Supporting Children with Disabilities), instruction to address needs of ELL (e.g. Ed 219: Literacy for Young Learners II), and instruction to meet the needs of students from low-income families (e.g. SpEd 213: Family and Community Partnerships).

Annual Goals: Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2019-20?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2019-20 blank (or clear responses already entered).

Yes

No

- 2. Describe your goal.
- 3. Did your program meet the goal?

Yes

No

- 4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:
- 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
- 6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21) 7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank. Yes No 8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank.



10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2019-20?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2019-20 blank (or clear responses already entered).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

Yes

No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

- 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
- 6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21) 7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank. Yes No 8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank.



10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2019-20?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2019-20 blank (or clear responses already entered).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

In the fourth year of our revamped dual-certification program, we set a goal of recruiting and successfully enrolling five additional students and graduating four program completers. We have surpassed that goal. In addition to graduating our three program completers in the undergraduate program and seven in our post-baccalaureate/graduate program, totaling ten completers in 2019-2020, we have five additionally enrolled candidates in the junior class, which again meets the goal. Adding the post-baccalaureate program in special education has helped us to surpass our current goal of preparing special education teacher candidates for the pipeline.

- 3. Did your program meet the goal?
 - Yes
 - No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

In this fourth year of implementation, our departmental recruitment efforts continue to include: make efforts to build connections with first-year students when they express interest in the field, regularly update our marketing materials, website pages as well as send out messages to potential students about the growing need for teachers in the field for special education. Moreover, each faculty continue to play an active role in the admissions recruiting events and make sure to highlight that our program in Early Childhood Education and Special Education allows teacher candidates to achieve dual-certification at the end of their 4-year undergraduate program. Furthermore, the head of the graduate program actively recruits by connecting with local school districts, creating social media materials, and offering online webinars and training materials to engage with the community of educators. These are just some of the strategies we have used to achieve our goal.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21)
7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank. Yes No
8. Describe your goal. In the fifth implementation year of our revamped dual-certification program in 2020-21, we are on track to meet the goal of recruiting and successfully enrolling at least six additional candidates (undergraduate and graduate) to be prepared as teachers in special education. Moreover, we are also on track to graduate at least five program completers in 2020-21.
Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22) 9. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank. • Yes
9. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank. Yes No
9. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank. • Yes

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
We will continue to implement our strategies, as well as find other innovative ways to recruit and retain our students.

SECTION II: ANNUAL GOALS

Annual Goals: Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

• Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2019-20)

1.	Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20?
	If no, leave remaining questions for 2019-20 blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).
	Yes

2. Describe your goal.

No

- 3. Did your program meet the goal?

 Yes

 No
- 4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:
- 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
- 6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2020-21)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes
No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2021-22)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank.



10. Describe your goal.

Assessment Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. (§205(a)(1)(B))

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS5732 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: MATH Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
ETS5732 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: MATH Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2019-20	1			
ETS5732 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: MATH Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	1			
ETS5712 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: READING Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
ETS5712 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: READING Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2019-20	2			
ETS5712 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: READING Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ETS5722 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: WRITING Educational Testing Service (ETS) Other enrolled students	1			
ETS5722 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: WRITING Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2019-20	1			
ETS5722 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR ED: WRITING Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	2			
ETS5733 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR EDUCATORS: MATH Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2017-18	1			
ETS5713 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR EDUCATORS: READING Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2019-20	1			
ETS5723 -CORE ACADEMIC SKILLS FOR EDUCATORS: WRITING Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2019-20	2			
ESP0002 -PAPA - MODULE 2 MATH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
ESP0006 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2019-20	1			
ESP0006 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
ESP0006 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
ESP0007 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2019-20	1			
ESP0007 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
ESP0007 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
ESP0008 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2019-20	1			
ESP0008 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
ESP0008 -PECT PREK-4 - MODULE 3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
ESP0011 -PECT SPEC ED PREK-8 - MODULE 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
ESP0011 -PECT SPEC ED PREK-8 - MODULE 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
ESP0012 -PECT SPEC ED PREK-8 - MODULE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
ESP0012 -PECT SPEC ED PREK-8 - MODULE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			

Summary Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. (§205(a)(1)(B))

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Summary Pass Rates

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2019-20	3		
All program completers, 2018-19	2		
All program completers, 2017-18	2		
All program completers, combined 3 academic years	7		

SECTION IV: LOW-PERFORMING
Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. ($\S205(a)(1)(D)$, $\S205(a)(1)(E)$)

Н	IS.	PΑ	GE	INCL	UDES	

>> <u>Low-Performing</u>

Low-Performing
1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?
Yes No
If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:
State CAEP AAQEP Other specify:
2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state? Yes No

SECTION V: USE OF TECHNOLOGY

Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THI	S PAGE INCLUDES:		
>>	Use of Technology		

Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. (§205(a)(1)(F))

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction
 - Yes
 - No
- b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning
 - Ye
 - No
- c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- 2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

To enhance all aspects of learning, we integrate the use of technology in a wide variety of ways throughout our dual-certification and special education teacher education programs. Examples below provide evidence and support for our affirmative responses: a) Professors expect students to utilize PowerPoint, Prezi, Teams, and other applications/modalities to present their knowledge in various assignments. Professors also model UDL teaching practices by utilizing online learning platforms (e.g. Moodle) and tools to provide multiple means of representation, engagement, and action/expression (e.g. posting of content, sharing a variety of enhancement materials for students to engage with, assessing learning through quizzes and discussion board posts). In this way, technology integration to model UDL principles becomes part of their own learning experiences. In regard to giving students more explicit experiences with integrating technology into their own instruction and curriculum, students are given various practice opportunities including hands-on experiences in their field placements. Examples include the usage of critical websites such as the PDE SAS portal to learn about technological resources that exist for lesson planning and assessment purposes as well as integrating PDE standards, hands-on usage of technological tools like SmartBoard, iPads, Chromebooks, as well as various apps used in the PreK-12 classrooms. b) Professors model technology integration by collecting data such as grades and student feedback to improve their pedagogical practices and therefore student learning. From online grading applications like "GradeBook Pro" to excel spreadsheets to compile student feedback (which happens at the institution level as well as departmental-level and individual faculty-level), we model the collection of data to inform and improve the teaching and learning practices in the college classroom. Our

students are also explicitly taught to use online resources such as "rubistar," to assist them in creating rubrics for assessment purposes. PDE SAS is utilized for its "Classroom Diagnostic Tools" feature to learn/practice using an adaptive diagnostic measure to collect data on student progress, which is automatically tailored to individual students. c) Progress monitoring software and programs such as Aimsweb and Lexile as well as Excel are introduced to ensure students are adept at utilizing various tools to collect, manage and analyze data to extract meaningful data, in order to improve the teaching and learning process. They are taught to integrate the technologies mentioned so that they become flexible in using the tools that they may be given in their future classrooms/ schools. Though the three main elements listed above are in place, we will look to continually improve our practices in better preparing our pre-service teacher candidates, by ensuring that we effectively integrate technological tools into our teacher preparation program, especially in this virtual learning environment.

SECTION VI: TEACHER TRAINING

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. (§205(a)(1)(G))

PILL	PAGE	INICLI	IDES

>> Teacher Training

Teacher Training

- 1. Provide a description of the activities that prepare general education teachers to:
 - a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

In our undergraduate, dual-certification program, we prepare our teacher candidates to be certified in both Early Childhood (PreK – 4) and Special education (PreK – 8) certification areas. Therefore, all of our coursework rests upon both sets of teaching competencies that prepare them to meet the needs of all students, including those with diverse needs (students with disabilities, limited English proficiency, and at-risk). For example, in the content area courses, candidates learn and practice utilizing various instructional strategies to meet the needs of students with disabilities. Those strategies include direct and explicit instruction, multi-sensory instruction, and pedagogical methods based on UDL (multiple means of representation, engagement, and action/expression) and other high-leverage practices in the areas of collaboration, assessment, social/emotional/behavioral learning, and instruction. Moreover, a combination of pre-student teaching clinical placement in a specialized educational setting with their student teaching placement provides them the opportunities for hands-on practice. Through these learning opportunities, all teacher candidates are prepared to teach students with disabilities effectively. In our Master of Science in Special Education program, we prepare current general education teachers or special education teacher candidates to be certified Pre-K-12 in Special Education. Therefore, our coursework rests upon the PDE special education teaching competencies that prepare our graduate students to meet the needs of all students, but especially those with diverse needs (students with disabilities, limited English proficiency, and those at-risk) by learning to apply strategies designed to meet their specific needs. Those strategies include direct and explicit instruction, multi-sensory instruction, and pedagogical methods based on UDL, and other high-leverage practices.

b. Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*.

In our special education courses, our undergraduate teacher candidates have iterative and ongoing opportunities to learn about the special education processes that are necessary for their PreK-12 pupils to receive the necessary services to ensure their access to the general education curriculum and to maximize their learning. These processes include the MTSS process, which can lead to the evaluation/identification of students and then the IEP process that follows. Candidates receive hands-on practice through role-playing of various kinds of IEP meetings and other collaborative meetings. They also have multiple opportunities to practice writing reports required of them in the IEP process (IEP, FBA/BIP, etc.). Guest speakers with a variety of expertise also enhance their understanding of these processes. Graduate students also have iterative and ongoing opportunities to learn about the special education processes necessary for their PreK-12 pupils to receive the necessary services and can access their general education curriculum. Additionally, students examine and apply processes designed to meet the diverse academic, social, and behavioral needs of students. These processes include the MTSS process, which can lead to the evaluation/identification of students and then the IEP process that follows. Teachers and special education teacher candidates receive hands-on practice through role-playing of various kinds of IEP meetings and other collaborative meetings. They also have multiple opportunities to articulate into words and contribute through a variety of written reports that will be required of them in the IEP process (IEP, FBA/BIP, etc.). Moreover, students complete a Graduate Capstone Project designed to deeply examine a topic impacting students with diverse needs and identify strategies to meet those needs and areas that require additional research and exploration.

c. Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.

In methods courses, both in our undergraduate and graduate programs, our teacher candidates learn and have opportunities to practice various assessment and teaching strategies for meeting the needs of students who are limited in their English proficiency. Emphasis is placed on appropriate testing accommodations as well as integrating ELD standards to prepare our students to address their needs in their lesson planning processes, as well as learning to distinguish the complex challenges that EL learners face.

2. Does your program prepare special education teachers?



If yes, provide a description of the activities that prepare special education teachers to:

a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

In our undergraduate, dual-certification program, we prepare our teacher candidates to be certified in both Early Childhood (PreK – 4) and Special education (PreK – 8) certification areas. Therefore, all of our coursework rests upon both sets of teaching competencies that prepare them to meet the needs of all students, including those with diverse needs (students with disabilities, limited English proficiency, and at-risk). For example, in the content area courses, candidates learn and practice utilizing various instructional strategies to meet the needs of students with disabilities. Those strategies include direct and explicit instruction, multi-sensory instruction, and pedagogical methods based on UDL (multiple means of representation, engagement, and action/expression) and other high-leverage practices in the areas of collaboration, assessment, social/emotional/behavioral learning, and instruction. Moreover, a combination of pre-student teaching clinical placement in a specialized educational setting with their student teaching placement provides them the opportunities for hands-on practice. Through these learning opportunities, all teacher candidates are prepared to teach students with disabilities effectively. In our Master of Science in Special Education program, we prepare current general education teachers or special education teacher candidates to be certified Pre-K-12 in Special Education. Therefore, our coursework rests upon the PDE special education teaching competencies that prepare our graduate students to meet the needs of all students, but especially those with diverse needs (students with disabilities, limited English proficiency, and those at-risk) by learning to apply strategies designed to meet their specific needs. Those strategies include direct and explicit instruction, multi-sensory instruction, and pedagogical methods based on UDL, and other high-leverage practices.

b. Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*.

In their special education courses, our undergraduate teacher candidates have iterative and ongoing opportunities to learn about the special education processes that are necessary for their PreK-12 pupils to receive the necessary services to ensure their access to the general education curriculum and to maximize their learning. These processes include the MTSS process, which can lead to the evaluation/identification of students and then the IEP process that follows. Candidates receive hands-on practice through role-playing of various kinds of IEP meetings and other collaborative meetings. They also have multiple opportunities to practice writing reports required of them in the IEP process (IEP, FBA/BIP, etc.). Guest speakers with a variety of expertise also enhance their understanding of these processes. Graduate students also have iterative and ongoing opportunities to learn about the special education processes necessary for their PreK-12 pupils to receive the necessary services and can access their general education curriculum. Additionally, students examine and apply processes designed to meet the diverse academic, social, and behavioral needs of students. These processes include the MTSS process, which can lead to the evaluation/identification of students and then the IEP process that follows. Teachers and special education teacher candidates receive hands-on practice through role-playing of various kinds of IEP meetings and other collaborative meetings. They also have multiple opportunities to articulate into words and contribute through a variety of written reports that will be required of them in the IEP process (IEP, FBA/BIP, etc.). Moreover, students complete a Graduate Capstone Project designed to deeply examine a topic impacting students with diverse needs and identify strategies to meet those needs and areas that require additional research and exploration.

c. Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.

In methods courses, both in our undergraduate and graduate programs, our teacher candidates learn and have opportunities to practice various assessment and teaching strategies for meeting the needs of students who are limited in their English proficiency. Emphasis is placed on appropriate testing accommodations as well as integrating ELD standards to prepare our students to address their needs in their lesson planning processes, as well as learning to distinguish the complex challenges that EL learners face.

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Contextual Information

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

Our dual-certification program was approved by the Pennsylvania Department of Education in September of the 2016-2017 school year. Since then, we have actively recruited and trained pre-service teacher candidates and will continue to do so. As we continue to strengthen and bolster our enrollment and successfully train teachers for the field, we will also continuously work on refining and improving the quality of our program. This year, we are happy to have implemented and have graduated our first cohort from our post-baccalaureate/graduate program in special education, adding strong much-needed special educators to the field.

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Certification of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the
| J | Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Sarah Wong

TITLE:

Assistant Professor/Compliance Officer

Certification of review of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Angela Rose

TITLE:

Assistant Professor/Department Chair